

**The Rules of the evaluation of scientific care
of the Doctoral School of the University of Agriculture in Krakow**

§ 1

1. Scientific care is evaluated in parallel with the mid-term evaluation of PhD students and is made in accordance to Article 202 s.2 of the Act of 20 July 2018 – Law of Higher Education.
2. Evaluation of scientific care is made on the base of the report of the evaluation of scientific care - the form of which is specified in appendix 1 of the Rules, as well as the talks of the Committee members with the supervisor and the PhD student (§ 2 s.1).
3. The report of the evaluation is submitted to the office of the Doctoral School by the end of the first week of October of every year in which the mid-term evaluation of the PhD student is conducted.
4. The interview with the supervisor can be held online.
5. The evaluation of scientific care finishes with a positive or negative result. The result of the evaluation is public.

§ 2

1. The evaluation of scientific care is held by 3 person Committee for evaluation of scientific care, which is sets up by the appropriate discipline council. The Committee is consisted of the employees of the University of Agriculture in Krakow. There should be 2 persons participating in the mid-term evaluation Committee. The council of the discipline sets up the Committee by 1 month since receiving the application from the Director of the Doctoral School.
2. The Committee suggests the date of the interview with the supervisor.
3. The Committee draws up the protocol of the evaluation of scientific care, the form of which is in appendix 2 of the Rules.
4. In case of the negative assessment of the evaluation of scientific care while positive mid-term evaluation of IPB of the PhD student, the appropriate discipline council should change the supervisor and the Director of the Doctoral School applies for it. The discipline council sets up the Committee by 1 month since receiving the application from the Director.
5. The members of the Committee present the Director of the Doctoral School the final assessment by three days since the last meeting. The Director presents the final assessment to the supervisor, coordinator and council of discipline. The supervisor signs the protocol of evaluation of scientific care.

§ 3

1. In justified cases the Director of the Doctoral School may change the dates indicated in the Rules.
2. The Director of the Doctoral School decides about the scope of activities of the Committee that have not been included in the Rules.

In line with the original

DYREKTOR
SZKOŁY DOKTORSKIEJ
Zygmunt M. Kowalski
prof. dr hab. Zygmunt M. Kowalski