



UNIWERSYTET ROLNICZY

im. Hugona Kołłątaja w Krakowie

Doctoral School

Appendix no. 1
to the Rules for the evaluation of scientific care

REPORT OF EVALUATION OF SCIENTIFIC CARE

Krakow, date

Part A

NAME AND SURNAME OF THE SUPERVISOR

NAME AND SURNAME OF THE PhD STUDENT

DATE OF BEGINNING OF EDUCATION AT THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

DISCIPLINE

DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR



Part B (filled by the supervisor) * *please circle the appropriate answer*

I. I assess the availability of the PhD student as*:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
II. I assess the performance of duties by the PhD student as*:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>

signature of supervisor



Part C (filled by PhD student) * please circle the appropriate answer

I. I assess the availability of the supervisor as *:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
II. I assess the supervisor's help in the preparation of IPB as:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
III. I assess the supervisor's help in the implementation of IPB as:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
IV. I assess the supervisor's help in my research to date as:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
V. The supervisor's consultations help me in the current research in a way:				
1	2	3	4	5
<i>insufficient</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>perfect</i>
VI. Having previous experience in training as a part of a doctoral study what you would change?				
- nothing				
- supervisor				
- subject of individual research plan (IPB)				
- scope of work in certain periods				
- others (write below)				
.....				
.....				
.....				
.....				

signature of PhD student